

Campus Finance Committee Meeting

Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022

Time: 9am

In Attendance

Elizabeth Watkins, Gerry Bomotti, Anil Deolalikar, Brian Haynes, Christopher Lynch, Dana Simmons, Daryle Williams, Deborah Deas, Jason Stajich, Jeff Girod, Kathryn Uhrich, Ken Baerenklau, Kevin Vaughn, Louie Rodriguez, Mariam Lam, Melanie Wu, Rodolfo Torres, Shaun Bowler, Steven Mandeville-Gamble, Yunzeng Wang and Stephanie Flores (committee support)

Overview of Rate Review Proposals – Gerry/Stephanie

Handouts provided.

- High level review of the proposed rates. Most rate increases are due to salary and benefit rate increases and/or cost of materials.
- There are new rates out of Facilities Services - \$25 charge for the 1st key and \$15 for additional keys to offset staff costs. The charges are similar to other UC campuses.

FY23 and FY24 Budget Projections – Gerry/Stephanie

Handout provided.

- Noted that these are draft numbers for revenue because UCOP has not yet provided their numbers.
- Projected Expenses include prior commitments, UCOP/Federal mandates, salary and benefit cost increases, and a partial list of items that will require discussion and decisions
- We will be waiting for the May Revise from the Governor and then we generally know the state budget for FY23 in early July. UCOP will provide prelim numbers in July/Aug and final allocation numbers are not generally received until December, but we will have to make decisions before we get the final.
- Budget proposals will be gathered from central units and added to the Potential Decisions, then come before this committee for review. This committee will provide a recommendation to the Chancellor/Provost who will make the final decision.

FTE Weighting Model sample – Gerry

Handout provided.

- Sample shows what would happen for a new UG student in BCOE who is taking 1/3 of their classes in CHASS, 1/3 in CNAS, and 1/3 in BCOE. Since this example is a BCOE student, they will also get the headcount major and non-resident tuition headcount if applicable. Many other

examples could be modelled, but the previous information shared did show the change between what tuition was allocated to the schools and colleges over the past 5 year under the weight of “1” vs what the allocation would have been under the weights of 1.5 for BCOE, 1.3 for CNAS, and 1.0 for all other schools and colleges.

- There are some technical details that would need to be worked out with establishing a base year and the weight on enrollment declines relative to the base year.

Discussion – all attendees

- Consider posting the tuition calculation on the FP&A website so that everyone can see the detail for each college/school, but it would need to include a narrative on how the calculation works.
- Remember that this is a project resulting from a faculty committee on the issue of varying costs across disciplines and their conclusion that the Budget Model should be adjusted for that fact. They did their research and determined weighting was important, but they did not have the data to determine the appropriate weights. The CFC has been reviewing actual data from the Delaware data sharing and so now we have to make the decision on implementation of the faculty committee recommendation.
- The Budget Model should be reviewed every 4 years and so we will be able to see the results and then we can determine additional adjustments should be made.
- Tuition allocations have significant impact on the culture of this campus even through the impact of the dollars could be small. Concern that introducing weights to the FTE will impact the interdisciplinary curriculum.

Action Item

Implement the Credit Weighting discussed for the next 4 years (FY23-FY26), using FY22 enrollment actuals as the starting point, and then review the outcomes. Majority agreement.

Next Meeting

Thursday, April 21 at 9am